


Instructions for authors

Submission of a paper implies that it has not been published previously, that is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, and that if accepted for the *Opuscula Zoologica, Budapest*, the authors will transfer copyright to the Eötvös Loránd University as is customary.

The copyright holder (ELTE) grants to any third party, in advance and in perpetuity, the right to use, reproduce or disseminate the article, according to the creative commons  License.

The publication in *Opuscula Zoologica, Budapest* is free. There is no page charge, submission charge or any other article processing charge for the authors.

Papers must be in English or German, and be original contributions in the field of animal taxonomy, systematics, zoogeography and ecology. All manuscripts must be submitted to one of the editors as e-mail attachment.

All manuscripts are subject to single-blind peer review however, a manuscript can be rejected without peer review if they do not comply with the instructions to authors or are beyond the scope of *Opuscula Zoologica, Budapest*. Authors can draw our attention to potential conflicts of interest by indicating the name of “opposed reviewers” during submission. Authors are required to explain (in detail) why they do not want this person to be invited. However, we cannot guarantee that “opposed reviewers” will not be invited.

Manuscripts must be written with 12 point letter size, single spacing (including the reference list). Authors are requested to keep their communications as concise as possible. Footnotes should be avoided, and italics should be used only for Latin species names. Authors' name should be in small caps.

The manuscript should contain the following information:

Title (bold 16 pt.) should be followed by the name and full address of the author(s). Where possible, the e-mail of the corresponding author should be supplied with the manuscript, for use by the publisher.

Abstract should be a brief summary of the contents and conclusions of the paper, and should not be longer than 200 words and should not contain references.

Keywords should be informative and avoid repetition of the title words.

INTRODUCTION should contain a brief survey of the relevant literature and the reasons for doing the work.

MATERIALS AND METHODS (if relevant) supply sufficient information to permit repetition of the work. The technical description of methods should be given only when such methods are new.

RESULTS should be presented concisely. Only in exceptional cases will it be permissible to present the same set of results in both table and a figure. The results section should not be used for discussion.

DISCUSSION should be separate from the results section and should deal with the significance of the results and their relationship to the object of the work (and, this is the place of the identification key in taxonomic revisions).

Acknowledgements (at most in 10 lines).

REFERENCES. References should be detailed in the following order: authors' names (small caps) and initials, year of publication (in parentheses) closed with a colon. The title of the article, the full name of the journal (*italic*), the volume, and the first and last pages of the article and **DOI** number if available. For books the authors' names, year of publication, title, edition, page reference, publisher's name and the place of publication and **DOI** number if available should be given, e.g.:

DÓZSA-FARKAS, K., ORTMANN-AJKAI, A. & HORVÁTH, F. (2015): New enchytraeid species (Oligochaeta: Enchytraeidae) from the Danube–Dráva National Park. *Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 61(4): 305–327. doi: 10.17109/AZH.61.4.305.2015

ZICSI, A. (1982): Verzeichnis der bis 1971 beschriebenen und revidierten Taxa der Familie Lumbricidae (Oligochaeta). *Acta zoologica hungarica*, 28: 421–454.

JUHÁSZ, Á. (1983): *Évmilliók emlékei. - Magyarország földtörténete és ásványi kincsei.* Gondolat, Budapest, pp. 512.

MAKÁDI, M. (2002): *Az Alpokalja.* In. KARÁTSON, D. (ed.) Magyarország földje. Magyar Könyvklub, Budapest, pp. 314–355.

In the text references should be given as Michaelsen (1933), or (Michaelsen 1933). When a citation includes more than two authors, e.g. Righi, Ayres and Bittencourt the paper should be referred to in the text as Righi *et al.*, provided that this not ambiguous. If papers by the same author(s) in the same year are cited, they should be distinguished by the letters, *a, b, c*, etc., e.g. Michaelsen (1936*a*)

All necessary **illustrations** should accompany the manuscript, but should **not be inserted in the text**. All photographs, graphs and diagrams should be numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals in the order in which they are referred to in the text. Photographs should be sent in digital form (in **TIFF** format) at least with 300 dpi resolution. Figures should be of good quality and sent in digital format (**TIFF**) at least with 600 dpi. Explanation of lettering and symbols should be given in the figure caption. Lettering and symbols to appear on the illustration should be of sufficient size to allow for considerable reduction where necessary (usually proper for a 50% reduction in size). All figures must be referred to in the text.

Every **table** should be provided with an explanatory caption and each column should carry an appropriate heading. Units of measure should always be indicated clearly (metric units are preferred). All tables must be referred to in the text and should be attached on a separate page at the end of the manuscript.

The Latin names should be given for all species used in the investigation, and author name should be provided at the first mention of a species. Genus and species names should be in italics except in the references

Publication ethics and malpractice statement

The publication ethics statements below based on COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors.

Duties of Editors

The Editors will accept and screen submissions from any author, regardless of gender, nationality etc. In order to achieve the highest level of objectivity and infringement of copyright in the examination process, the Editors must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript and its Author to anyone other than the corresponding Author and Reviewers (including potential ones).

On the basis of the Reviewers' opinion, the Editors have the right to accept or reject a manuscript for publication, or to demand its modification. The editors may confer with the Editorial Board members in making this decision.

Final selection of papers for publication, and the form of publication, shall rest with the Editors.

Unpublished manuscripts must not be use in any purpose by Editors, and must be kept strictly confidential from the third parties.

Authors will be informed about the decision on their manuscript as promptly as possible.

Duties of Authors

Author should warrant the following:

The submitted article is her/his original work; any used works must be appropriately cited or quoted.

It has not been previously published, nor submitted, in any language, in any media, in part or in whole, and that the Author's work does not infringe any copyright, nor violate any proprietary rights.

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a *significant* contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that *all co-authors have seen and approved* the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal's corresponding editor or the publisher and cooperate with the editors to retract or correct the paper.

Duties of Reviewers

Reviewer should give her/his statement clearly and objectively, avoiding personal criticism and subjectivity. All comments and suggestions from Reviewer should be followed with supporting arguments. Reviewer obliges to keep all received manuscripts in strict confidentiality and must not use them for a personal advantage.

The appropriate acknowledgments of all sources of data within the manuscript should be certified by the Reviewer. Any similarity to published papers or a doubt to plagiarism must be immediately brought to the Editors.

Reviewer should inform the Editors if having any professional issues, such as falling out of the subject of the manuscript from her/his scope of research, or lack of time to make the proper examination. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.